





Research design and codes of practice for maximizing the impact of energy and climate social science

Research Workshop for the Center for Engineering Sustainability and Resilience, Northwestern University, United States, January 21-22, 2021

Benjamin K. Sovacool, Ph.D

Overview and preview



- Some mind-boggling professional statistics
- What is novelty?
- What is rigor?
- What is style?
- Some thoughts and suggestions for research impact
- Implications for you in this very room

The source for almost everything in this presentation, at least the first bit, is: Sovacool, BK, J Axsen, and S Sorrell. "Promoting novelty, rigor, and style in energy social science: Towards codes of practice for appropriate methods and research design," *Energy Research & Social Science* 45 (November, 2018), pp. 12-42.

Boggling the mind



- Elsevier, the top academic publisher, receives about 1.3 million submissions a year
- 365,000 are accepted, adding to about 69 million articles available on Scopus
- 700 million downloads a year from 11 million researchers across 120 countries
- 30-90% rejected (average around 82%)

Boggling the mind





- A lot of garbage is submitted!
- But, it also makes excellent articles much easier to spot
- My own 20-70-10 rule

How do we get better (myself included)?



- Bring attention to the importance of clearly articulating research questions, objectives, and designs
- Provide a framework for conceptualizing novelty
- Suggest codes of practice to improve the quality and rigor of research
- Provide guidelines for improving the style and communication of results



Figure out what *type* of contribution you want to make



- Problem or puzzle dependent something curious, touches upon concepts learned in graduate school (e.g., socio-technical systems theory, regulatory capture, bounded rationality, market failure, realism and how they all relate to energy systems);
- 3. Data driven or grounded: entirely inductive or empirical (e.g., what's stopping solar panels in Papua New Guinea? Is the EITI effective?)
- Hypothesis driven: test, confirm, or disprove certain hypotheses in bodies of literature (e.g., energy security survey, RIPE hydropower article)

The mechanics of (most) strong articles



Socially useful

	Thomas Edison quadrant: Purely applied research (e.g., analysis to support more effective advertising campaigns for household renewable electricity systems)	Louis Pasteur quadrant: Use-inspired basic research (e.g., studies of determinants of adoption of energy efficient technologies)	
Does not improve fundamental understanding	Rubbish Bin quadrant: Research that makes no contribution to knowledge; advocacy drawing inappropriately or selectively on science (e.g., studies discrediting climate change science)	Niels Bohr quadrant: Pure basic research (e.g., history of energy use during the Renaissance)	Improves fundamental understanding

Not socially useful

The mechanics of (most) strong articles



- Engage with/recognize theory and conceptual frameworks, sometimes advance them
- State research aims or objectives
- Explicate a specific research design, methods working on concert to achieve your objectives and answer that question
 - 1. Experiments and quasi-experiments
 - 2. Literature reviews
 - 3. Surveys and quantitative data collection
 - 4. Data analysis and statistics
 - 5. Quantitative energy modelling
 - 6. Qualitative research
 - 7. Case studies

	Experiments and quasi- experiments	Literature reviews	Surveys and data collection	Data analysis and statistics	Quantitative energy modeling	Qualitative research	Case studies
Core Disciplines	Behavioral science, social psychology, behavioral economics, medical and life sciences	All disciplines, though meta- analysis is more common in quantitative disciplines (e.g. psychology and economics)	Various, but especially economics, sociology and marketing	Various, but especially, economics, psychology and some traditions within political science	Economics, engineering, environmental science (for Integrated Assessment Models)	Anthropology, sociology, history, geography, policy studies, science and technology studies	Various, but similar to qualitative research
Description	Exemplified by randomized controlled trials, but also includes controlled before-and- after studies and various types of matched comparisons. Potentially provides reliable evidence of the causal effect	Reviews generally do not present new or original data. Instead, they scour existing peer- reviewed or grey literature, with the aim of identifying the current state of knowledge. Reviews occasionally use content or discourse analysis.	Survey data can provide valuable information about a given sample and population (e.g. consumers, citizens, or stakeholders), including descriptive statistics and test of association or causality among variables	Technique for exploring quantitative hypotheses, such as comparing means across samples or testing associations of variables; can be performed on either new data collected by the researcher or analysis of existing (secondary) data.	Covers a variety of approaches to analyzing the operation and consequences of different mechanisms using simplified mathematical models.	A variety of techniques for obtaining information regarding the opinions, understanding s, attitudes and perceptions of individuals and groups in different contexts.	In-depth, examination of one or more subjects of study (cases) and associated contextual conditions. Relies upon multiple sources of both quantitative and qualitative evidence.

	Experiments and quasi- experiments	Literature reviews	Surveys and data collection	Data analysis and statistics	Quantitative energy modeling	Qualitative research	Case studies
Research culture	Convergent, subject to rigorous scientific evaluation	Convergent for meta-analysis and systematic reviews, but largely divergent for other forms	Somewhat convergent, practices vary by discipline and nature of research question (e.g. descriptive or causal)	Somewhat convergent, general principles hold across disciplines, but some disciplines have developed more specific practices (e.g. econometrics)	Divergent, research questions and model assumptions differ greatly across disciplines and approaches	Divergent, split among different subcategories of qualitative/ intepretivist research, e.g. post- positivism, relativism, and constructivism	Divergent, split between different objectives, types of case (e.g. illustrative, exploratory, cumulative, critical) and types of evidence
Codes of practice for methodolo gical rigor	Can be based upon a hierarchy of evidence, studies assessed against predetermined criteria, standardized reporting structures	Some standardized assessment criteria exist, particularly for systematic reviews and meta-analysis	Can be based upon increasingly accepted assessment structures	Based upon statistical principles, but preferred techniques and practices vary between disciplines	Some codes have been proposed, but these vary with the model type	Data collection not always guided by explicit criteria	Depends on case study types, whether single or comparative cases are needed, and spatial or temporal variation

What is novelty?!



- Theoretical novelty
 - Invention or creation (TIS, social practice)
 - Synthesis or reformation (UTAUT)
 - Testing or triangulation (fun!)



JOURNAL ARTICLE Conceptual Models and the Cuban Missile Crisis

Graham T. Allison The American Political Science Review Vol. 63, No. 3 (Sep., 1969), pp. 689-718

Published by: <u>American Political Science</u> <u>Association</u> DOI: 10.2307/1954423 https://www.jstor.org/stable/1954423 Page Count: 30

What is novelty?!



- Methodological novelty
 - Mixed or multi-methods
 - Behavioural realism (for models)
 - Repeated data collection or longitudinal research



Energy Research & Social Science Volume 22, December 2016, Pages 125-136



Original research article

Laundry, energy and time: Insights from 20 years of time-use diary data in the United Kingdom

Ben Anderson 🖾

What is novelty?!



• Empirical novelty

- New applications (regions, cases, contexts, theories)
- New data from hard to reach groups (children, indigenous people, survivors > see next slide)
- New evidence (big data, telematics, remote sensing)

Nonstate Actors and the Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Suicide Terrorism

Michael C. Horowitz ^(a1) ① DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S0020818309990233 Published online by C

Abstract

Studies of terrorism in general and suicide terrorism ir However, what if the propensity for a terrorist group to and the relationship between the organizational capat capabilities of the group? This article shows that the or significantly influence the overall adoption pattern, alc the universe of terrorist groups, not only those groups that Pape's key variable of interest, occupation, does n Thinking about suicide terrorism as a special case of d —can help bring the study of suicide terrorism further not just differences, but similarities, to other innovatio



Energy Research & Social Science Volume 44, October 2018, Pages 411-418



Original research article

Terrorism, geopolitics, and oil security: Using remote sensing to estimate oil production of the Islamic State

Quy-Toan Do®, Jacob N. Shapiro^b, Christopher D. Elvidge^e, Mohamed Abdel-Jelil^d, Daniel P. Ahn[®], Kimberly Baugh^f, Jamie Hansen-Lewis[®], Mikhail Zhizhin^f, Morgan D. Bazilian^h 은 쯔



What is rigor?



• A working definition: "the quality of being extremely thorough and careful"

Defining validity.

Researchers will inevitably be concerned with validity when they design, implement and interpret their study. Broadly speaking, and more in line with the positivist paradigm, validity relates to whether the result or interpretation is correct. Although the concept is most clearly applicable to experiments and quasi-experiments [180]—that is, studies of causation or explanation—it is also relevant to other quantitative and qualitative methods [181]. Shadish et al. [182] present four types of validity, the two most commonly discussed of which are internal and external validity. Internal validity relates whether the observed effects are due to the identified variable(s) and not some other factors, whereas external validity refers to the generalizability of the study's results to other groups, contexts or time periods. Researchers will want to consider both forms of validity within their research design—through considering alternative explanations for what they observe (internal validity), and assessing how current observations may or may not apply to other contexts (external validity). Hammersley argues that while concepts of validity are useful, they must be applied differently for different research questions, methods and intentions for the produced knowledge [183].

What is rigor: Hierarchies of validity and evidence for experiments?



Stronger evidence

Systematic reviews/meta-analysis

Two or more double blind randomized experiments

One or more large randomized experiment

One or more well-conducted cohort studies

One or more well conducted case-control studies (pre/post)

An uncontrolled experiment/pilot

Expert committee sitting in review

Peer leader opinion

Personal experience

Weaker evidence

What is rigor: Hierarchies of validity Centre of and evidence for reviews?

Greater rigor

Meta-analysis

Systematic review (weighted by study rigor)

Systematic review (unweighted)

Narrative review (with search criteria, explicit parameters and a sample)

Narrative review (with convenience sample)

Lower rigor

What is rigor: Hierarchies of validity Centre and evidence for data analysis?

Greater rigor

Multivariate analysis (longitudinal)

Multivariate analysis (crosssectional)

Bivariate analysis

Univariate analysis

Lower rigor

Hierarchies of validity and evidence for case studies?



Stronger evidence

Literature review of a large number of case studies

Collection of more than two case studies, variation in type, time or space

Two comparative case studies, no variation

Single case study

Anecdotal experience

Weaker evidence

Problematizing hierarchies of validity Centre and evidence

- You need the lower levels or rungs to lead to the higher ones, someone has to do them
- Often requires a balancing between them, no article excels in all, especially those with mixed designs
- Differs greatly between disciplines, imagine submitting a quantitative meta-analysis to a discourse journal
- A "horses for courses" mentality as well, don't choose higher forms if
 - Cannot execute (lack of time, funding, access)
 - Marginal value sometimes to moving up (confidence interval stays roughly the same)

The required sample size for obtaining an estimate of specified precision from different population sizes



	Sample sizes for the 95% confidence intervals					
Population	+/- ´	10%	+/-	5%	+/- 3%	
size	50/50	80/20	50/50	80/20	50/50	80/20
	split	split	split	split	split	split
100	49	38	80	71	92	87
200	65	47	132	111	169	155
400	78	53	196	153	291	253
600	83	56	234	175	384	320
800	86	57	260	188	458	369
1,000	88	58	278	198	517	406
2,000	92	60	322	219	696	509
4,000	94	61	351	232	843	584
6,000	95	61	361	236	906	613
8,000	95	61	367	239	942	629
10,000	95	61	370	240	965	640
20,000	96	61	377	243	1,013	661
40,000	96	61	381	244	1,040	672
100,000	96	61	383	245	1,056	679
1,000,000	96	61	384	246	1,066	683
1,000,000,000	96	61	384	246	1,067	683



- Robust macro-structure
 - Titles
 - Abstract
 - Sub-headings
 - Placement of paragraphs
 - Regular signposting
 - Often achieved with a high level outline from the start



- Clarity of expression in microstructure
 - Paragraph unity
 - Paragraph parsimony
 - Subject/object congruence and active/passive voice
 - Comprehensive but accurate referencing
 - Appropriate length (aim for short)
 - Minimal jargon and acronyms
 - Use visual elements such as diagrams, photographs, figures and charts







Coast Range

> Sacramento Valley

> > Sietra Nevada

Nevada

100 km

Ň

Pacific Ocean

San Joaquin Valley





Previewing



Sovacool, BK,	Table 2 (Continue Proposition	d) Explanation	Survey question(s)
<u>SV Valentine, MJ</u> <u>Bambawale, MA</u> <u>Brown, TDF</u> <u>Cardoso, S</u> <u>Nurbek, G</u> <u>Suleimenova, L</u> Jinke, X Yang, A	P3: Defending one's vocation	One would expect that perspectives on energy security held by those employed in the private sector would be significantly more conservative, with those participants rating and ranking climate change and environmental dimensions poorly. Industry representatives and government officials would also be expected to rate energy research expenditures highly	When you think about energy security for your country of residence in the next five years, how important is it to minimize the impact of climate change (i.e., adaptation); and to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (i.e. mitigation)?; to minimize the destruction of forests and the degradation of land and soil; to provide available and clean water; and to minimize air pollution?; to conduct research and development on new and innovative energy technologies?
Jain, AF Alhajji, and A Zubiri. "Exploring Propositions about	P4: Feminism and mother earth	We would expect women to prioritize climate change, environmental issues, and renewable energy more than men	When you think about energy security for your country of residence in the next five years, how important is it to minimize the impact of climate change (i.e., adaptation); to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (i.e. mitigation)?; to minimize the destruction of forests and the degradation of land and soil; to provide available and clean water; and to minimize air pollution?
<u>Perceptions of</u> <u>Energy Security:</u> <u>An International</u> <u>Survey,"</u> <u>Environmental</u> <u>Science & Policy</u>	P5: The influence of affluence	We would expect developing countries such as Brazil, China, India, Kazakhstan and Papua New Guinea to be predominantly concerned about the security of fossil fuel supply, given their rapid economic growth, whereas developed economies such as Germany, Japan, Singapore, and the United States would prioritize energy efficiency and energy research and development	When you think about energy security for your country of residence in the next five years, how important is it to have a secure supply of oil, gas, coal, and/or uranium?; to have low energy intensity (unit of energy required per unit of economic output)?; to conduct research and development on new and innovative energy technologies?
<u>16(1) (January,</u> 2012), pp. 44-64.	P6: The have and have nots	One would expect major energy importers such as Germany, Japan, and the United States to be concerned with lessening dependence on foreign supplies and increasing diversification and decentralization, whereas exporters such as Kazakhstan and Saudi Arabia would emphasize trade and the value of energy exports. The rapidly industrializing economies of Brazil, China, and India would be expected to "scramble" for as many energy resources as they could acquire	When you think about energy security for your country of residence in the next five years, how important is it to promote trade in energy products, technologies, and exports?

Summarizing





Sovacool, BK and B Brossmann. "Symbolic Convergence and the Hydrogen Economy," *Energy Policy* 38(4) (April, 2010), pp. 1999-2012.

Summarizing



Table 1

Qualitative comparison of four governance networks.

	Clarity of purpose	Resources/ funding	Institutional formality	Scope of power	Level of Resilience
ASEAN Centre for Energy (ACE)	Lack of specific mandate	Moderately supported	Semi-Formal	Limited	Somewhat resilient
Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Partnership (REEEP)	Very Clear	Broad based funding	Robust	Influences members and policy	Very Resilient
ASEAN Regional Knowledge Network on Forest Law Enforcement and Governance (FLEG)	Somewhat clear but ambitious	Weak	Semi-Formal	Limited	Not very Resilient
ASEAN Regional Knowledge Network on Forests and Climate Change (FCC)	Not clear due to complexity of the issue	Weak	Semi-Formal	Limited	Not very Resilient

Poocharoen, Ora-Orn and BK Sovacool. "Exploring the Challenges of Energy and Resources Network Governance," *Energy Policy* 42 (March, 2012), pp. 409-418.



U.S. Department of Energy, Characterization of U.S. Energy Resources and Reserves (Washington, DC: DOE/CE-0279, 1989).



Centre on 'nnovation nd Energy emand

Subpart A - INTERCONNECTION PROCEDURES

36 Interconnection Requests

36.1 General: Generation Interconnection Requests and Transmission Interconnection Requests shall be governed by this Section 36.

36.1.01Generation Interconnection Request: Except as otherwise provided in

this Subpart A with respect to Behind The Meter Generation, an Interconnection Customer that seeks to interconnect new generation in, or to increase the capacity of generation already interconnected in, the PJM Region shall submit to the Transmission Provider a Generation Interconnection Request. A Generation Interconnection Request shall include: (i) the location of the proposed generating unit site or existing generating unit; (ii) evidence of an ownership interest in, or right to acquire or control the generating unit site, such as a deed, option agreement, lease, or other similar document acceptable to the Transmission Provider; (iii) the size of the proposed generating unit or the amount of increase in capacity of an existing generating unit; (iv) a description of the equipment configuration and if the generating unit is a wind generation facility, a set of preliminary electrical design specifications depicting the wind plant as a single equivalent generator; (v) the planned date the proposed generating unit or increase in capacity of an existing generating unit will be in service, such date to be no more than seven years from the date the request is received by the Transmission Provider unless the Generation Interconnection Customer demonstrates that engineering, permitting, and construction of the generating unit or increase in capacity will take more than seven years; and (vi) any additional information as may be prescribed by the Transmission Provider in the PJM Manuals; (vii) an executed Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study Agreement, a form of which is contained in Attachment N, pursuant to which the Generation Interconnection Customer agrees to reimburse the Transmission Provider for the cost of the Generation Interconnection Feasibility Study; and (viii) an initial deposit in the amount of \$100 for each MW requested if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the first calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Queue; an initial deposit in the amount of \$150 for each MW requested if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the second calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Queue; or an initial deposit in the amount of \$200 for each MW requested, if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the third calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Sueue, up to a maximum amount not to exceed \$100,000 and (ix) a base nonrefundable deposit in the amount of \$10,000, if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the first calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Queue; a base non-refundable deposit in the amount of \$20,000 if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the second calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Queue; or a base non-refundable deposit in the amount of \$30,000, if the Generation Interconnection Request is received within the third calendar month of the date of the beginning of the current New Services Queue.

Documenting

Sovacool, BK. "Rejecting Renewables: The Sociotechnical Impediments to Renewable Electricity in the United States," *Energy Policy* 37(11) (November, 2009), pp. 4500-4513.

Simplifying





Brown, MA and BK Sovacool. "Developing an 'Energy Sustainability Index' to Evaluate Energy Policy," *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews* 32(4) (December, 2007), pp. 335-349.

Humouring





Humouring







- Transparency and humility
 - Err on the side of transparency
 - Proactively list your limitations
 - Be respectful to those you critique and especially to your peer reviewers
 - Solicit criticism from colleagues
 - Write and rewrite, a "willingness to be terrible!"



	Good papers	Bad papers
Title	Describes topic but also key	Describes only the topic or
	findings, themes, and contributions,	method
	and/or cases	
	Identifies the geographic location of	Does not mention location or
	the research (if relevant)	case study (if relevant)
Abstract	Clearly states research objectives	Focuses only on one or two
	or questions, methods, findings,	aspects of the manuscript
	limitations, and future directions	
	Is closely copy edited, is not	Is full of typos, or repeated in
	repeated later in the text	the text itself verbatim
Introduction	Is short and sharp, often with an	Has a messy introduction that
	attention getting device at the start	is too long
	Presents the core argument or	Presents the core argument
	question within the first few	too late
	paragraphs	
	Is well linked with the rest of the	Is poorly-linked with the rest of
	paper	the paper
	Is well linked with the conclusion	Ignores the link between the
	and findings	introduction and conclusion
	Previews the structure of the paper	Does not give the structure of
	to come	the argument



	Good papers	Bad papers
Research Questions,	Has a clear, answerable,	Has an unclear research
Frameworks, Methods	interesting research	question or none at all
and Designs	question or questions	
	If appropriate, engages with	Does not state an
	a conceptual framework or	appropriate theoretical or
	frameworks	conceptual framework
	Is explicit about research	Does not clarify research
	design	design
	Follows or acknowledges	Does not consider codes of
	codes of practice for its	practice
	research design	
	Mentions and pre-empts	Ignores or hides
	methodological limitations	methodological limitations
Results	Actively interprets data	Lets data speak for itself
	Is selective and judicious	Presents data not directly
	about data utilized	linked to the core argument
	Tightly couples data and	Decouples the presentation
	analysis	of data from the analysis



	Good papers	Bad papers
Discussion/	Aims to make the conclusion	Has a thin conclusion
Conclusion	the best part of the article	
	Does not start a new	Starts a new argument in the
	argument in the conclusion	conclusion
	Does not present new data in	Presents new data in the
	the conclusion	conclusion
	Uses the conclusion to	Lets the conclusion be a
	discuss findings as well as	summary and nothing else
	future research directions	
	Cautiously discusses	Ignores limitations and/or
	limitations and generalizability	inappropriately presents
	of findings (or lack thereof)	findings as fully universal or
		generalizable
General structure	Tells a compelling story for	Lets the reader wonder what
	the reader	the results mean
	Has coherent, logical	Has jumbled structure and no
	structure with clear headings	headings or subheadings
	and subheadings	
	Strong paragraph unity	Lacks paragraph unity
	Is well signposted	Forgets signposts

"Good" is good enough?



- Don't wait for perfection, submit early (and publishing takes practice)
 - Publish or maybe perish: life is ephemeral and unpredictable
 - Timeliness: some reviews can take years, article production can take years
 - Idea ownership: stake your claim
 - Contribute to scientific debate and meet your social responsibility (Habermas and "enlightenment")
 - Free feedback: worst case, you get good critical comments for free, best case, you get published
 - Force yourself to write even on bad days, it definitely gets easier (and you get better)

Finally, be ethical!



Unethical behaviour includes:

- Scientific misconduct
 - Falsification of results
 - Fabrication of results
- Publishing misconduct
 - Plagiarism
 - Different forms / severities
 - The paper must be original to the authors
 - Duplicate/multiple submission
 - Redundant publication
 - Failure to acknowledge prior research and researchers
 - Inappropriate identification of all co-authors
 - Conflict of interest

Authorship matters



- Generally order of authors is the order of who did the most work, lead author is mostly responsible
- Sometimes work is divided evenly, then authorship can be rotational (if doing multiple pieces) or alphabetical (by first or last name)
- My own take: all those collecting primary data, and/or actually writing part of the text, deserve to be authors
 - Other takes: research assistants and students can never be authors, part of their job, get placed in acknowledgements
 - Still others: works for hire produce data that "belongs" to somebody else, almost like ghost writing
 - Still another: a professor that advises work, even if he or she does not write, counts as an author (I don't agree)

Authorship matters



- Agree on authorship before a study starts
- Watch for unintentional plagiarism
 - "Self-plagiarism" (though norms vary, e.g. methods sections and/or use of original data)
 - If possible "iThenticate" or "Turnitin" yourself





Ok, so I have painstakingly followed this advice, and I have a properly designed, novel, rigorous, and well written article I want to publish. Now what?

Choose a non-predatory journal with the right type of peer-review

- Understand different types of peer-review
 - "Open access" versus "normal" academic journals
 - Page fees and submission fees
 - Types of review
 - Peer reviewed double blind (Energy Research & Social Science)
 - Peer reviewed single blind (*Energy Policy, Science*)
 - Editorially reviewed (*Electricity Journal, Energy for Sustainable Development*)
 - Law journals (faculty advisor plus 3-4 students)
 - Invitations (Annual Review of Environment and Resources)
 - Community review (some physics or natural science journals)
 - Consider different angles/types of journals: one paper could fit in a technology and innovation, public policy, energy studies, or area studies journal.

Find a non-predatory, reputable journal with a high impact factor



- Journals are often ranked by their quality according to different tiers: choose those that matter to your institution and/or indexed on SCOPUS or ISI Web of Science
- Avoid picking predatory journals with hidden review, page or publishing charges, and/or those with "fake" peerreview
- Read aims and scope and a few previous articles or issues to determine not only suitability but reputability
- Usually pick one with an online submission system (email submissions and mailed submissions notoriously slow and/or unreliable)

Version 1 - 23.01.2015



	Autoritetslisten for serier 2015							
BFI-nr.	FG-nr.	Faggruppenavn	Kanal	ISSN/ISBN	Titel	Niveau		
7659	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0003-0678	American Quarterly	2		
2540	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0044-8060	American Studies in Scandinavia	2		
82971	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	1433-5239	American Studies Journal	2		
4878	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	1478-8810	Atlantic Studies	2		
4703	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0067-2378	Austrian History Yearbook	2		
12997	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0261-3050	Bulletin of Latin American Research	2		
14142	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	1537-7873	Cultural Analysis	2		
7506	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Bogserie	0902-7521	Culture & History	2		
10708	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0011-5266	Daedalus	2		
10159	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0013-2586	Eighteenth-Century Studies	2		
14731	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0101-4064	Estudos Ibero-Americanos	2		
4993	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0014-2085	Etudes Francaises	2		
9030	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0966-8136	Europe - Asia Studies	2		
4363	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	1350-7486	European Review of History	2		
6636	1	Områdestudier: Europa, Amerika, Oceanien	Tidsskrift	0924-0608	European Review of Latin American and Caribbean Studies	2		



Journal Tierings $\frac{A}{A}$ (University)

TIER 1 - PREMIUM

ACADEMIC

JOURNAL GUIDE 2015

- 1. "IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution"
- 2. A Academy of Management Journal
- 3. Academy of Management Review
- Accounting Review

L	Land Economics: a quarterly journal devoted to the study of economic and social institutions	1402	Applied Economics
	Oxford Review of Economic Policy	1402	Applied Economics
	Papers in Regional Science	1402	Applied Economics
	Public Choice	1402	Applied Economics
	Real Estate Economics	1402	Applied Economics
	Regional Science and Urban Economics	1402	Applied Economics
	Resource and Energy Economics	1402	Applied Economics
1	Review of Industrial Organization	1402	Applied Economics
1	Review of International Economics	1402	Applied Economics
1	Review of International Political Economy	1402	Applied Economics
1	Review of Law and Economics	1402	Applied Economics
L	The Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics	1402	Applied Economics
1	The Economics of Transition	1402	Applied Economics
1	The Journal of Economic History	1402	Applied Economics
	The Review of Black Political Economy	1402	Applied Economics
	The World Economy	1402	Applied Economics
	World Development	1402	Applied Economics
•	American Journal of Agricultural Economics	1402	Applied Economics

When in doubt, write to editors



- If you have questions about the aims and scope of the journal (which you should read), formatting, ethics (milking the data set), authorship, length of review, acceptance rate, impact factor, production schedule, etc., in many cases you can write to the editor or editorial office
 - Most journals have at a minimum an editorial assistant (or team) that handles such requests
 - If they don't, or take a long time to get back to you, that also tells you something
 - Editorial advice can save *everyone* (authors, editors, possible reviewers) a great deal of time
- Follow through with editors after you submit (I do it every 4 months)
 - Example of editor forgetting to send out for review
 - Example of editor forgetting article was submitted
 - Example of editor not realizing reviews were in

What makes an excellent output?



The idea is that you can design for impact and excellence:

- Interdisciplinary or transdisciplinary
- Mixed methods or triangulation
- Replicability, falsifiability or confirmability
- Comparative cases or generalizability
- Address a practical real-world problem (poverty, species extinction)
- Advance or apply concepts and theories
- All of the above?!

What makes an excellent output?



Robust methods (and time intensity) sometimes a rough proxy:

- Primary data (interviews, focus groups, surveys), especially hard to access places
- Modelling (access to supercomputers)
- New/innovative methods (shadowing, stalking, diaries)
- Meta-analysis (meta-surveys, systematic reviews)
- Content analysis



Energy Research & Social Science 26 (2017) 54-59



Perspectives

The hidden economic benefits of large-scale renewable energy deployment: Integrating heat, electricity and vehicle systems

Lance Noel

Center for Energy Technologies, Department of Business Development and Technology, Aarhus University, Denmark

RTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 January 2017 Accepted 24 January 2017 Available online 3 February 2017

Keywords: Renewable energy Electric vehicles Renewable integration Energy modeling The transition to large-scale renewable energy in order to mitigate climate change is necessity. Much cardemic literature has begun to focus on the technical and economic plausibility of such a transition to renewable energy, but these studies often explore one to several potential energy systems and their costs and benefits as compared to the existing system. This paper summarizes the policy implications of a recent analysis that builds on the literature of the integration of renewable electricity, electric vehicles and electric heat by modeling and testing nearly 86 million different combinations of wind, solar, natural gas, vehicle-to-grid capable electric vehicles, and electric heat. After each system was modeled for four years of operation to ensure reliability, the costs of energy systems were then calculated both with and without externalities to better understand how this cost affects implementation. We present the results and policy implications of our analysis across the 86 million energy systems and conclude with the results and policy implications of our analysis across the 86 million energy systems and conclude with the results and policy implications of user and the search.

CrossMark

Mimic and imitate those you admire















Mimic "look", structure, feel, framing, execution, etc.





Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Research Policy 36 (2007) 399-417



www.elsevier.com/locate/respol

Typology of sociotechnical transition pathways

Frank W. Geels*, Johan Schot

Eindhoven University of Technology, IPO 2.10, P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Received 1 August 2003; received in revised form 1 November 2006; accepted 3 January 2007 Available online 20 February 2007

Abstract

Contributing to debates about transitions and system changes, this article has two aims. First, it uses criticisms on the multi-level perspective as stepping stones for further conceptual refinements. Second, it develops a typology of four transition pathways: transformation, reconfiguration, technological substitution, and de-alignment and re-alignment. These pathways differ in combinations of *timing* and *nature* of multi-level interactions. They are illustrated with historical examples. © 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Transition pathways; Sociotechnical regime; Multi-level perspective

What is "Impact" beyond the REF then? Not only citations:



- Citation counts (ISI,
 Scopus, or Google Scholar)
- Author impact factor/h-index• Per
- Downloads (journal, institutional website, or SSRN)
- Court decisions / testimony
- Political debates documenting use

- Press releases or citations in the popular press
 - Personal
 - communications/emails/req uests
- Requests for consultancies
- Media interview requests
- Invitations to conferences
- In rare cases, advertising?

"Impact" can take a variety of forms





Breathe the clean, natural air from the 580 MW Altamont Pass wind farms near Livermore, California USA.

Over 40 years, the Altamont wind farms **SAVE**:

168 premature deaths 108 heart attacks 1,625 asthma attacks 11,250 lost work/sick days 68,000 restricted activity days \$1.4 billion in health costs 128,000 bird deaths

Source: McCubbin, D and BK Sovacool. "Quantifying the Health and Environmental Benefits of Wind Power to Natural Gas," *Energy Policy* 53 (February, 2013), pp. 429-441.

Tips for self-promotion



- It won't happen by itself, sometimes more work than actually writing, submitting, revising, and publishing
 - Keep on top of the literature and email others your research, perhaps even personalized emails to those you cite or "reference list spamming"
 - Distribute your material at conferences (my WREC example)
 - Create e-mail lists of colleagues in particular areas (topical and geographic)
 - Send to email-lists and networks but don't abuse and always frame
 - Have a professional and a personal website

Tips for self-promotion



- Arrange for opinion/editorial newspaper articles (a great strategy, WSJ)
- Submit material for our departmental or SEG newsletter
- Host press releases and/or media events (e.g., book launch)
- Reports and policy briefs, data rewritten for a general audience







Some actionable, near-term suggestions



- 1. Design some articles for maximum impact from the start
- 2. Also realize the value to fecundity and 2-3 contributions a year, "less" excellent
- 3. Choose good journals, with good reputations and impact factors
- 4. Create a Google Scholar account <u>https://scholar.google.co.uk/</u>
- 5. Create a RG profile <u>https://www.researchgate.net/home</u>
- 6. Create a Mendeley Account <u>https://www.mendeley.com/newsfeed/</u>

Summary: Some actionable, near-term suggestions



- 7. Join Academia.edu <u>https://www.academia.edu/</u>
- 8. Join ORCID <u>https://orcid.org/</u>
- 9. Join SSRN <u>https://www.ssrn.com/en/</u>
- 10. Join Mailing lists (EASSN, STRN, etc.) and then engage, including promoting your own work <u>www.jiscmail.ac.uk/EASSN</u>
- 11. Create peer review/citation "clubs"
- 12. Generate and use different contact lists

Summary: Some actionable, near-term suggestions



13. Post publication, translate into press
releases and policy briefs
14. Gently mimic (and cite) those you admire,
even write to them or write with them

Conclusion: "Six Battles"



- Battling <u>the literature</u> to find a contribution to make, a puzzle to address, a question nobody has answered as well as you can
- Battling <u>yourself</u> to be disciplined in writing, in submitting, in revising, in continual self-improvement
- Battling <u>co-authors, supervisors, or colleagues</u> to sharpen the arguments, process feedback, and meet deadlines
- Battling <u>editors</u> to initially respond to your queries, then to pass editorial screens, and then (maybe) to challenge close calls and reviews or to ask for guidance
- Battling <u>peer reviewers</u>, especially that really annoying and negative referee, sometimes over third, fourth, and fifth rounds of revision

• Battling <u>readers</u> and the general public to become interested in the article, to see its findings translated into impact, also handling rebuttals or critical questions (more on that soon)

Concluding thoughts



If we knew what we were looking for, it wouldn't be called "re-search."



Contact Information





Benjamin K. Sovacool, Ph.D Professor of Energy Policy University of Sussex Jubilee Building, Room 367 Falmer, East Sussex, BN1 9SL UK: 01273 877128 International: +44 1273 877128 B.Sovacool@sussex.ac.uk



